

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,897	12/10/1999	TOM GIAMMARRESI	533/047	9422
56015	56015 7590 11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100			TRAN, HAI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	·
SHREWSBU	IRY, NJ 07702		DATE MAILED: 11/09/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/458,897	GIAMMARRESI, TOM				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hai Tran	2623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for	. •						
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ju</u>	ne 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	, -						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🛛 (4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (<u>-</u> :						
7) 🗌 (
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers	`					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ .					
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			u .				
Attachment(s	s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗹 Interview Summary					
_	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/23/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 1, in response to Applicant 's argument (see page 9 of 11), the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant because Goldszmidt clearly discloses the server (Fig. 1-3) performs concurrent processing of session-state data of the video session using a distributed managing module associate with its server controller, i.e., el. 2.1 of Fig. 2 or 3.1 of Fig. 3 by maintaining the delivery of the multimedia stream to the client 2.5, for example Fig. 3(a) the original connection link 3.9 fails, the control server 3.1 redirects the requested multimedia stream from server 3.6 to server 3.7 through link 3.12 under the request from the client agent 3.5.

What Goldszmidt does not disclose is a dedicating secondary head-end controller (similar to server controller 2.1 of Fig. 2 or 3.1 of Fig. 3) having the same managing module for concurrently processing of the session-state data of the requested video session through a distributed managing module environment.

To cure this deficiency, Ohran discloses a dedicating 2nd server in which the processing of any session-state is processed through distributed managing module concurrently on both primary server <u>and</u> secondary dedicated server in which the distributed managing module is associated with both primary and secondary dedicated server (see Fig. 7; Col. 11, lines 51-Col. 12, line 6).

As such the combination of Goldszmidt and Ohran will result with a system having two (2) server controllers, i.e., a primary server controller 3.1 and secondary dedicating server controller 3.1, as taught by Ohran, so to be able to perform concurrent processing of session-data of the video session (requested video session from a client) using a distributed managing module on both primary and secondary dedicated server controllers and to further utilize the resource of both redundant server controllers. Moreover, the combination clearly increases the system fault-tolerance by reducing the downtime to zero (0). In view of that the Examiner maintains the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 3-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Goldszmidt et al. (US 6195680) in view of Ohran et al. (US 5812748).
 - Claim 1. A method of distributing and sharing processing loads and increasing fault tolerance between provider equipment and subscriber equipment of an interactive information distribution system (summary; Col. 3, lines 12-55), comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2623

receiving, at a headend, a request for video information from said subscriber equipment (Col. 5, lines 25-31; Col. 6, lines 40-60);

executing a video session from at least one of a plurality of managing modules on a primary head-end controller at said headend (Control server 1.1 of Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 7-31 in which is described in Col. 9, lines 48-52 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt, see Fig. 10 with two of Gateway/TCP-Router nodes, 1st one is 1050 configures as a primary and the 2nd one 1030 as a backup);

dedicating, at said head-end, at least one secondary headend controller (Control server 1.1 of Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 7-31 and the 2nd Gateway/TCP-Router node, i.e., 1030 as a backup which is described in Col. 9, lines 48-52 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt) respectively having said at least one managing module (see Col. 9, lines 48-52 of US 5918017 with el. 320, 340 and 1020) as a resource for executing said video session,

wherein said executing said video session comprises processing session-state data of said video session using a managing module (Manager 320; see Col. 7, lines 3-Col. 9, lines 8 in which is further described in the configuration of Fig. 10 of an encapsulated cluster with high availability Gateway/TCP-Router node, Col. 9, lines 48-60 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt) associated with said primary head-end controller;

Art Unit: 2623

storing said session-state data from said executed video session on at least one storage device (Col. 9, lines 66-Col. 10, lines 22 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt); and

streaming, from a stream server (Fig. 1, el. 1.2 and 1.3; Col. 5, lines 32-35), said video information to said requesting subscriber equipment during a normal mode of operation.

Goldszmidt does not clearly disclose the secondary dedicating head-end controller (similar to server controller 2.1 of Fig. 2 or 3.1 of Fig. 3) having the same managing module for concurrently processing of the session-state data of the requested video session through a distributed managing module environment.

Ohran discloses a dedicating 2nd server controller in which the processing of any session-state is processed through distributed managing module concurrently on both primary server and secondary dedicated server in which the distributed managing module is associated with both primary and secondary dedicated server (see Fig. 7; Col. 11, lines 51-Col. 12, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldszmidt to have distributed managing module concurrently active on both servers (for example, two (2) server controllers, i.e., a primary server controller 3.1 and secondary dedicating server controller 3.1' that are able to perform concurrent processing of session-data of the video session (requested video session from a client) using a distributed managing module on both primary and secondary dedicated server), as taught by Ohran so to fully utilize the resources of both

Art Unit: 2623

redundant servers, as suggested by Ohran (Col. 12, lines 1-6) and specifically increase the system fault-tolerance by reducing the down-time to zero (0).

Claim 3. The method of claim 1, Goldszmidt further discloses wherein said executing said video session further comprises executing said video session on at least one non-distributed managing module (Executor 340, Fig. 5, Fig. 10, and Col. 4, lines 10-Col. 5, lines 13 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt) associated with said primary head-end controller.

Claim 4, as discussed in claim 1, Goldszmidt in view of Ohran further discloses the steps of:

processing said session-state data through at least one distributed managing module concurrently on the primary head-end controller and the at least one secondary head-end controller and the at least one secondary head-end controller, wherein the at least one distributed managing module on the primary head-end controller and the at least one secondary head-end controller is in active mode ("costandby", see Ohran Col. 11, lines 52-65) and

processing the session state data from the at least one non-distributed managing module on the primary head-end controller (Executor 340, Fig. 5, Fig. 10 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt), wherein the at least one non-distributed managing module on the primary head-end controller is in an active mode (Col. 6, lines 50-57 and Col. 9, lines 48-60 of US 5918017 incorporated by

Art Unit: 2623

reference in Goldszmidt), and wherein the at least one non-distributed managing module on the secondary head-end controller is in a standby mode (Col. 6, lines 20-31 in Goldszmidt).

Claim 5, Ohran further discloses a method comprising the steps of:

processing said session-state data produced by said primary headend

controller via said at least one secondary headend controller in a failure mode of

operation, wherein said primary head-end controller becomes inoperative (Col. 11,

lines 64-67).

Claim 6, Goldszmidt (Col. 6, lines 16-31 and see Col. 10, lines 65-Col. 11, lines 40 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt) in view of Ohran further discloses comprising the steps of:

streaming video information from a stream server to an access controller in said normal mode of operation, wherein said primary head-end controller manages said video session between said stream server and at least one access controller; and

streaming video information from said stream server to said access controller in said failure mode of operation, wherein said secondary head-end controller manages said video session between said stream server and said access controller.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 7, Goldszmidt inherently stores the session-state data produced by said primary head-end controller on at least one non-volatile storage device coupled said primary headend controller (Col. 9, lines 66-Col. 10, lines 5);

Goldszmidt does not disclose storing said session-state data produced by said at least one secondary head-end controller on at least one non-volatile storage device coupled lo said primary head-end controller.

Ohran (Fig. 7) discloses the session-state data produced by said primary head-end controller (server 2310) on at least one non-volatile storage device (2314) coupled said primary headend controller (server 2310) and storing said session-state data produced by said at least one secondary head-end controller (2320) on at least one non-volatile storage device (2315) coupled to said primary head-end controller (Col. 12, lines 7-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldszmidt to have the primary Gateway/TCP-Router node to store both session-state data from the secondary Gateway/TCP router and from itself, as taught by Ohran, so to increase the high-availability of the redundant system by avoiding the substantial performance degradation experienced by the non-failing server during recovering mode, as suggested by Ohran (Col. 3, lines 56-Col. 4, lines 3).

Claim 8, Goldszmidt in view of Ohran (Col. 12, lines 7-16) further discloses replicating said stored session-state data from one of said plurality of storage devices coupled to said primary head-end controller, to each of the remaining

Art Unit: 2623

storage devices of said plurality of storage devices coupled to said at least one secondary head-end controller; and

wherein said at least one secondary headend controller retrieves said session-state data processed by said managing modules of said primary head-end controller (Ohran; Col. 12, lines 7-16) for continuing said video session with said subscriber equipment (Col. 10, lines 5-Col. 11, lines 40 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt).

Claim 9, Goldszmidt in view of Ohran, as discussed in claim 7, does not clearly disclose the use of a "volatile memory device coupled to said primary headend "for storing said session-state data produced by said primary head-end controller and storing said session-state data produced by said at least one secondary head-end controller on said volatile memory device coupled to the primary headend controller.

Official Notice is taken that using volatile memory, i.e., RAM, for the purpose of caching data is notoriously well known the art for the benefit of increasing processing performance because the latency time to access data stored in the cache (volatile memory) is much less than the latency time to access data stored in the non-volatile memory (Disk Drive). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldszmidt in view of Ohran to use volatile memory instead of non-volatile memory so to increase performance to have the primary Gateway/TCP-Router node to store both session-

state data from the secondary Gateway/TCP router and from itself, as taught by Ohran, so to further increase the performance time and the high-availability of the redundant system during recovering mode.

Claim 10, limitation "replicating said stored session-state data from said volatile memory device coupled to said primary headend controller, to at least one volatile memory device coupled to said at least one secondary head-end controller; and wherein said at least one secondary head-end controller retrieves said session-state data processed by said managing modules of said primary head-end controller for continuing said video session with said subscriber equipment" is further met by Goldszmidt in view of Ohran, as discussed in claims 10 and 8, in which Goldszmidt in view of Ohran's system support concurrent mirroring and consistency between the fault-tolerance servers.

Claim 11, Apparatus claim 11 is analyzed with respect to method claim 1.

Claim 12, Apparatus claim 12 is analyzed with respect to method claim 9.

Claim 13, Goldszmidt further discloses a primary head-end controller and at least one secondary head-end controller (Control server 1.1 of Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 7-31 in which is described in Col. 9, lines 48-52 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt, see Fig. 10 with two of Gateway/TCP-Router nodes, 1st one is 1050 configures as a primary and the 2nd one 1030 as a backup);

Claim 14, Goldszmidt further discloses in a normal mode of operation, the primary head-end controller interacts with the stream server to provide the video information to the subscriber equipment, and the at least one secondary head-end controller remain in a standby mode; and in a failure mode of operation, the primary head-end controller is inoperative, and at least one secondary head-end controller interacts with the stream server to provide video information to the subscriber equipment (Fig. 1; Col. 5, lines 22-65 and Col. 6, lines 20-31 in Goldszmidt).

Claim 15, Goldszmidt further discloses at least one non-distributed managing module, for processing session state data by the primary head-end controller (Executor 340, Fig. 5, Fig. 10 of US 5918017 incorporated by reference in Goldszmidt).

Claims 16 and 17, apparatus claim 16 is analyzed with respect to method claims, 5, 6 and 8.

Claim 18, in view of the above discussion, Ohran further discloses the use of a centrally networked storage device coupled to said primary server and a secondary server, for centrally storing the session state-data (see Fig. 2; Col. 6, lines 54Col. 7, lines 6) for the purpose of sharing.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 19, in view of the above discussion, Ohran further discloses a plurality of local storage devices, coupled to the primary server and the secondary server, for locally storing the session-state data produced by the plurality of managing module (see Fig. 7).

Claim 20, apparatus claim 20 is analyzed with respect to method claim 8.

Claim 21, Goldszmidt (Col. 5, lines 50-Col. 6, lines 31) in view of Ohran (Col. 11, lines 52-67) further discloses in a failure mode of operation, the at least one secondary server retrieves the replicated session-state data stored on the remaining plurality storage device, for continued interaction with the stream server to provide the video information to the subscriber equipment.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HT:ht 09/01/2006